

#### The Moon: New Views on Formation and Impact History

Pham Nguyen Michigan State University and Albion College

#### Outline



## Why Study the Moon?

# It has a well preserved impact history



Far side of the Moon taken during Apollo 16 Credit: NASA

# Informs dynamical models of the solar system



#### How do we Study the Moon?

## Lunar Samples

#### Apollo Missions ~382 Kg



Apollo 16 sample 60025

Credit NASA/Johnson Space Center photograph

S72-42187

#### Luna Program ~0.326 Kg



20 cm portion of Luna 20 core sample

Credit NASA/Johnson Space Center photograph S73-17207

## Lunar Samples (Cont.)

#### Meteorites (~150 found)



MAC 88105 a 663 gram sample found in Antarctica

Credit: NASA photo S89-38379

## Lunar Orbiters

Many lunar orbiter missions including:

- Lunar Prospector (1998-1999)
- Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (2009-Present)
- Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory (2011-2012)



#### So...what is the Moon like?

#### Surface Features of the Moon



Credit: Dustin Scriven

#### Outline



## To Make a Moon

What aspects of the Moon need to be explained?

- Angular momentum
- Composition (lack of volatiles)
- Small (iron) core

#### Fission



Requires very large angular momentum

Wise. D. U. 1966

#### Capture



capture Doesn't explain compositional similarities

## Binary Accretion



Weidenschilling et al. 1986

## Giant Impact



General Model:

- Mars sized impactor ('Theia')
- Angled impact
- Moon accretes from debris disk

## Giant Impact



#### Features:

- Angular moment
- Depleted iron core
- Common in early solar system

### Impact Simulation



#### Impact Simulation (Cont.)



#### Geochemistry Challenge

#### The Moon is TOO similar to Earth!



Asphaug 2014

Also similar in Ti, W, K, O...

#### Alternative Impact Models

#### Two objects with equal masses



# Alternative Impact Models (Cont.)

#### Donut shaped cloud of debris ('synestia')



#### Outline



#### The Late Heavy Bombardment

#### First ~600 million years of solar system



Credit: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center Conceptual Image Lab

# Models of Impact Flux and the 'Terminal Cataclysm'



Prevailing view from 1990s

### The Nice Model

#### How do we explain a late impact flux increase?



Many modifications e.g. 'Jumping Jupiter model'

#### Did a Terminal Cataclysm Happen?

- Material > 3.9 billion years old
- Contamination from Imbrium
- Orbital data reveals more impact craters



#### Sawtooth Model

Modest increase starting ~4.2 - 4.1 Ga



#### Outline



## Lunar Impact Glasses



Credit: Dustin Scriven

#### Research Project

Investigate claimed increase in flux over last 0.4 Gy



#### Impact Glasses

Characteristics:

- Age (<sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating)
- Composition (MgO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, etc.)
- Shape (shards vs spheres)

Key question: Are spheres bias to young ages?

#### Age Trends



#### Compositional Trends



Korotev et al. (2011), Levine et al. (2005), Meyer et al. (1971), and Wentworth et al. (1994)

#### Hypothesis

#### Over time, Impact events destroy older spheres

#### Regolith Dynamics Models

Simulations can model impact events and populations of impact glasses



#### Conclusion

Apparent increase in impact flux is the result of sampling bias

### Summary

- Moon formed from an impact event
- 'Terminal Cataclysm' falling out of favor
- No recent increase in impact flux

#### Acknowledgements

- Dr. Zellner
- Albion College
- NSF Astronomy and Astrophysics grant

Thank you!

#### Problems

#### Moon's orbital inclination



#### Solutions

Gravitational interaction with planetesimals

Tidal evolution from the Sun

# Argon Dating



### Timeline



## Basin Ages

Crater	Age (Ga) (1974–2006)	Age (Ga) (2009-present)
South Pole - Aitken	4.05 - ~4.3	4.0-4.4 (?)
Serenitatis	$3.893 \pm 0.009$	3.83-4.1+
Nectaris	3.89-3.92	3.92-4.2 (?)
Crisium	3.85-3.93	~3.9 (?)
Imbrium	$3.85 \pm 0.02$	3.72-3.93
Orientale	3.77-3.83	3.72-3.93

Zellner 2017